Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 07194 01 OF 02 090050Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ERDA-07 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-08 FPC-01 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-05 OES-07 SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 ACDA-10 PA-02 PRS-01 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 FEA-01 /105 W

O 090016Z AUG 77 FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACT IMMEDIATE 4381 INFO ALL AMCONSULS IN CANADA

-----041402 090120Z /72

UNCLAS SECTION 01 OF 02 OTTAWA 07194

WHITE HOUSE FOR GOLDMAN; STATE FOR KATZ AND EUR/CAN

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: ENRG, PGOV, SENV, CA
SUBJECT: PRIME MINISTER'S PREESS CONFERENCE FOLLOWING
CABINET DECISION ON NORTHERN PIPELINE

REF: OTTAWA 7186

- 1. FOLLOWING IS UNOFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PRIME MINISTER'S PRESS CONFERENCE AFTER ANNOUNCEMENT OF CABINET DECISION TRANSMITTED REFTEL. BEGIN TEXT'
- 2. QUESTION. GIVEN THE FACT THAT THE NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD SAID IN ITS REPORT THAT THE FINANCIAL PLANNING OF THE ALCAN ROUTE IS NOT COMPLETE, AND GIVEN THE FACT THAT THE RESPECTIVE WALL STREET INVESTMENT FIRM HAS REPORTED THIS PROPOSED PIPELINE CANNOT BE BUILT WITHOUT SOME KIND OF FINANCIAL GUARANTEES BEING PROVIDED BY ONE GOVERNMENT OR ANOTHER, IS IT POSSIBLE THEN THAT THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT WOULD PROVIDE BACKSTOPPING FOR THIS PROPOSAL?

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 07194 01 OF 02 090050Z

----ANSWER. I SHOULD TELL YOU NOW IN ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION AND TO, I SUPPOSE, MANY OTHERS THAT WE WOULDN'T ATTEMPT TODAY AT A PRESS CONFERENCE TO GIVE OUR NEGOTIATING POSITION IN ANY DETAIL. THERE ARE MANY QUESTIONS THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DISCUSS WITH THE AMERICANS AND THE CABINET OF COURSE WILL BE FREQUENTLY CONSULTED BY THE NEGOTIATORS, AS WELL AS CONSULTING THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON

WHICH THE RELEVANT MINISTERS ARE MEMBERS, AND THAT IS PROBABLY AS FAR AS I'LL BE ABLE TO GO IN ANSWERING MOST QUESTIONS. ON YOUR PRECISE QUESTION, IT IS OUR HOPE AND INTENTION THAT THE FINANCING WILL BE BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND CANADIAN GOVERNMENTAL INVOLVEMENT IS NOT ENVISAGED IN BACKING UP AND IN FINANCING THE DEAL.

3. QUESTION' WHAT JUDGMENTS HAVE YOU REACHED IN YOUR DELIBERATIONS AS TO HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO THE NATIONAL INTEREST THAT THIS PIPELINE ACTUALLY BE BUILT--IN OTHER WORDS ARE YOU APPROACHING THE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES ON THE BASIS THAT WE'RE LUKEWARM TO IT AND IF THEY WANT TO MEET OUR CONDITIONS, FINE, AND IF THEY DON'T THAT'S FINE TOO, OR APPROACHING ON THE BASIS THAT IT IS FUNDAMENTALLY IMPORTANT TO CANADA'S INTEREST THAT SOME WAY BE FOUND TO BUILD THIS PIPELINE?

----ANSWER. I WOULD SAY THE LATTER. THE RELEASE MAKES IT QUITE CLEAR THAT WE BELIEVE THAT THE BUILDING OF THIS PIPE-LINE IS IN CANADA'S INTEREST, BUT THAT WILL ONLY BE THE CASE IF THE NEGOTIATIONS SHOW THAT THESE VARIOUS CONDITIONS AND TERMS TO WHICH WE ALLUDE RELATIVE TO THE ENVIRONMENT, NATIVE RIGHTS, DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH, AND SO ON, AND OF COURSE THE ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO CANADA, INCLUDING THE ACCESS TO OUR OWN GAS IN THE DELTA, ARE MET. NOW, THERE ISAPOINT BEYOND WHICH IT WILL CEASE TO BE IN CANADA'S INTEREST TO UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 07194 01 OF 02 090050Z

BUILD THIS PIPELINE AND THIS WILL BE THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THE WHOLE NEGOTIATION. WE ARE NOT GOING INTO THIS IN ORDER TO TAKE THE AMERICAS FOR A RIDE AND WE EXPECT THAT THEY'RE NOT COMING INTO IT TO TAKE US FOR A RIDE. PRESIDENT CARTER AND I AGREED THIS MORNING ON I THINK SOME RATHER FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES. TO WIT. THAT THE DATA ON WHICH OUR DECISIONS WOULD BE BASED WOULD BE KNOWN TO BOTH OF US. THAT WE WOULDN'T SORT OF BE PADDING OUR BILLS, THAT THEY WOULDN'T BE BLUFFING THAT THEY HAVE ALL KINDS OF OTHER ROUTES UP THEIR SLEEVE. WE AGREED THAT IT IS IN THE INTERESTS OF BOTH COUNTRIES TO BUILD THIS PIPELINE AND WE WILL MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO SEE THAT IT IS BUILT IN THE INTERESTS OF BOTH COUNTRIES. BUT, THERE IS A SITUATION BEYOND WHICH WE WILL NOT GO. WE ARE NOT GOING TO BUILD IT IF IT IS NOT OF SIGNIFICANT BENEFIT TO CANADA. AND THERE IS A POINT BEYOND WHICH THE AMERICANS WILL NOT GO--THEY WON'T BUILD IT IF THEY END UP HAVING GREATER ADVANTAGE WITH THE EL PASO LINE. SO IT SHOULD BE CLEAR AT THE OUTSET THAT THESE ARE GOING TO BE TOUGH NEGOTIATIONS, EVEN THOUGH BOTH PARTIES WANT THEM TO SUCCEED, THEY WILL BE TOUGH. AND IT IS QUITE CONCEIVABLE THAT THEY WILL NOT BE SUCCESSFUL, BUT I THINK IF THEY ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL IT IS BECAUSE BOTH COUNTRIES WILL HAVE COME

TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THEY CAN'T MAKE THEIR INTERESTS COMPATIBLE AND THEY CAN'T MARRY THEIR INTERESTS IN THIS PARTICULAR ROUTE, IN WHICH CASE I AM QUITE CERTAIN THAT PRESIDENT CARTER AND I WILL TRY AND GET TOGETHER TO SEE IF THERE IS ANY FINAL WAY IN RESOLVING OUR DIFFERENCES AND, IF THERE IS NOT, I HOPE THAT WE WILL PART AS THE BEST OF FRIENDS AND THEY WILL CONSIDER SOME OTHER ROUTE. BUT THAT IS A NEGATIVE RESULT THAT NEITHER OF OUR GOVERNMENTS APPREHEND--WE ARE GOING INTO THIS WITH GREAT OPTIMISM, WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT IT WILL SUCCEED AND ARE CONFIDENT THAT IT IS IN THE INTERESTS OF BOTH COUNTRIES. INDEED, THAT WAS THE OBJECT OF MY PHONE CALL TO PRESIDENT CARTER BEFORE THE FINAL DECISION WAS MADE. HAD I HAD SOME FEELING THAT

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 07194 02 OF 02 090056Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ERDA-07 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-08 FPC-01 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-05 OES-07 SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 ACDA-10 FEA-01 PA-02 PRS-01 NSCE-00 SSO-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 /105 W

-----041492 090120Z /72

O 090016Z AUG 77 FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACT IMMEDIATE 4382 INFO ALL AMCONSULS IN CANADA

UNCLAS SECTION 02 OF 02 OTTAWA 07194

THEY WERE INDIFFERENT AS TO ONE ROUTE OR ANOTHER, I THINK IT WOULD HAVE MADE IT MUCH MORE DIFFICULT FOR THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT TO ENTER THIS NEGOTIATION. BUT OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE A PREFERENCE AND THEY HAVE A PREFERENCE, AND IT'S ON THIS BASIS THAT WE'RE GOING TO TRY AND AGREE. AND I THINK WE WILL SUCCEED.

4. QUESTION. DO YOU HAVE A DEADLINE?

----ANSWER. WE DON'T HAVE A DEADLINE, BUT THE AMERICAN PRESIDENT HAS A DEADLINE OF THE FIRST OF SEPTEMBER AND WE ALL KNOW THAT HE CAN SEEK A PERIOD OF GRACE OF 90 DAYS IF NECESSARY. BUT OUR COMMUNICATION TO HIM AND INDEED THE REASON WHY WE HAD THIS DEBATE IN THE MIDDLE OF SUMMER AND WE HAD CABINET SIT ALL DAY SATURDAY AND ALL DAY

TODAY, OR MOST OF THE DAY TODAY, IS BECAUSE WE WANTED TO TELL THE AMERICANS NOW THAT AS FAR AS WE WERE CONCERNED WE WANTED THAT PIPELINE AND WE WERE PREPARED TO NEGOTIATE ON IT IN THE BEST OF FAITH. AND I'M QUITE SURE THE AMERICANS WILL DO THE SAME AND IF WE CAN REACH AN AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE AGAIN BEFORE THE FIRST OF SEPTEMBER THEN THERE WILL BE A LOT OF DETAILS TO BE IRONED OUT, BUT THEY UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 07194 02 OF 02 090056Z

SHOULD BE RELATIVELY EASY ONES IF TAKEN BIT BY BIT.

5. QUESTION. YOU SAY IN YOUR STATEMENT THAT YOU WOULD WANT AS A CONDITION OF BUILDING THE PIPELINE TO SEEK ASSURANCES THAT ANY SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT WOULD BE MINIMALIZED AND ADEQUATE COMPENSATION PROVIDED FOR THOSE WHICH ARE UNAVOIDABLE. WOULD YOU WANT THAT COMPENSATION PAID FOR BY THE AMERICAN PIPELINE COMPANIES AND THENCE BY AMERICAN CONSUMERS OR IS THAT A NEGOTIABLE ITEM?

---ANSWER. WELL IF I CAN TELL YOU A SECRET, WE'D LIKE TO HAVE THE AMERICANS PAY AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. BUT WE REALIZE AT SOME POINT WE HAVE TO BE REASONABLE WITH THEM. WE'VE GOT TO KEEP IN MIND, AND I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO REMIND THE CANADIAN PUBLIC THROUGH YOU AT THIS PRESS CONFERENCE, THAT WE HAVE PIPELINES THROUGH THE UNITED STATES. THERE IS A VERY IMPORTANT PIPELINE BETWEEN PORTLAND AND MONTREAL; THERE IS A VERY IMPORTANT PIPELINE BETWEEN THE WESTERN PROVINCES AND ONTARIO, GOING THHOUGH THE UNITED STATES' AND I DON'T THINK WE CAN ASK ANYTHING OF THEM WHICH WE WOULD FIND UNREASONABLE IF THEY ASKED IT OF US. IN THE NORTH THERE ARE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND WE INTEND TO PLEAD THEM, BUT WE INTEND BEING REASONABLE ON THIS AND ANYTHING WE CAN'T PROVE AS REASONABLE WE WON'T PRESENT--WE WON'T PUT FORWARD.

6. QUESTION. MR. PRIME MINISTER, JUST TO COVER ALL GROUNDS, WHAT HAPPENS IF CANADA AND THE UNITED STATES CANNOT AGREE TO A PIPELINE? WHAT ARE CANADA'S POPTIONS?

----ANSWER. WELL, WE STILL HAVE A LOT OF OTHER OPTIONS--WE STILL HAVE THE BUILDING OF A PIPELINE OURSELVES AS ONE POSSIBILITY, WE STILL HAVE A POSSIBILITY OF DELAYING A UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 07194 02 OF 02 090056Z

DECISION OF A PIPELINE IN CANADA. WE'LL TELL YOU WHAT WE'LL DO IF THE NEGOTIATIONS FAIL. WE THINK THEY WON'T.

END TEXT. ENDERS

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: PRIME MINISTER, CONSTRUCTION, PRESS CONFERENCES, PIPELINES

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 09-Aug-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Comment:

Disposition Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977OTTAWA07194
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A **Expiration:**

Film Number: D770285-0613

Format: TEL From: OTTAWA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770881/aaaacrai.tel

Line Count: 225 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 677d635f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION EUR

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 77 OTTAWA 7186

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 1663483 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: PRIME MINISTER\'S PREESS CONFERENCE FOLLOWING CABINET DECISION ON NORTHERN PIPELINE

TAGS: ENRG, PGOV, SENV, CA, (TRUDEAU, PIERRE-ELLIOT)

To: STATE Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/677d635f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009